

WASHINGTON, DC - 202-548-2680 JACKSONVILLE, FL - 904-261-2444

HOW THE POLL WAS CONDUCTED

This poll was conducted by Mason-Dixon Polling & Strategy, Inc. of Jacksonville, Florida from May 5 through May 7, 2025. A total of 625 registered Oregon voters statewide were interviewed live by telephone.

Those interviewed were randomly selected from a phone-matched Oregon voter registration list that included both land-line and cell phone numbers. Quotas were assigned to reflect voter registration by county.

The margin for error, according to standards customarily used by statisticians, is no more than ± 4 percentage points. This means that there is a 95 percent probability that the "true" figure would fall within that range if all voters in Oregon were surveyed. The margin for error is higher for any subgroup, such as a gender or age grouping. QUESTION: Some political leaders have proposed selling or transferring some or all of America's national public lands to state or county governments.

Supporters claim this would increase local control and promote economic development. Opponents claim it could lead to the sale of these lands to private owners, reduced public access for recreational use and weakened environmental protections.

	<u>SUPPORT</u>	OPPOSE	<u>UNDECIDED</u>
STATE	30%	61%	9%
REGION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Portland Metro	23%	71%	6%
Willamette Valley	27%	63%	10%
Rural Oregon	45%	42%	13%
GENDER ID	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Male	39%	54%	7%
Female	21%	68%	11%
AGE	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
18-34	23%	68%	9%
35-49	27%	65%	8%
50-64	33%	59%	8%
65+	35%	54%	11%
PARTY REGISTRATION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Democrat	14%	78%	8%
Republican	46%	45%	9%
Independent	33%	57%	10%

Do you support or oppose transferring federal public lands to state or county control?

QUESTION: President Donald Trump has proposed selling national public lands to help finance the extension of the 2017 federal tax cuts. Do you support or oppose selling public lands to fund this extension?

	SUPPORT	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
STATE	19%	76%	5%
REGION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Portland Metro	13%	84%	3%
Willamette Valley	15%	78%	7%
Rural Oregon	33%	61%	6%
GENDER ID	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Male	28%	68%	4%
Female	11%	84%	5%
AGE	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
18-34	11%	83%	6%
35-49	17%	77%	6%
50-64	21%	73%	6%
65+	25%	73%	2%
PARTY REGISTRATION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Democrat	4%	95%	1%
Republican	43%	48%	9%
Independent	16%	79%	5%

QUESTION: The Trump administration has moved to speed up logging on over 100 million acres of national forests by limiting environmental review and public input. Do you support or oppose this effort?

	SUPPORT	<u>OPPOSE</u>	<u>UNDECIDED</u>
STATE	35%	62%	3%
REGION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Portland Metro	24%	75%	1%
Willamette Valley	37%	58%	5%
Rural Oregon	51%	45%	4%
GENDER ID	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Male	42%	54%	4%
Female	28%	69%	3%
AGE	<u>SUPPORT</u>	OPPOSE	UNDECIDED
18-34	23%	73%	4%
35-49	33%	65%	2%
50-64	35%	62%	3%
65+	46%	51%	3%
PARTY REGISTRATION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Democrat	13%	85%	2%
Republican	62%	34%	4%
Independent	37%	60%	3%

Mason-Dixon[®] Polling & Strategy Oregon Poll May 2025

QUESTION: The Trump administration has proposed changing Endangered Species Act protections to remove habitat destruction from the definition of "harm" to wildlife. Do you support or oppose this change?

	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
STATE	25%	67%	8%
REGION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Portland Metro	19%	76%	5%
Willamette Valley	22%	72%	6%
Rural Oregon	38%	50%	12%
GENDER ID	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Male	33%	60%	7%
Female	18%	73%	9%
AGE	<u>SUPPORT</u>	OPPOSE	UNDECIDED
18-34	9%	83%	8%
35-49	19%	72%	9%
50-64	29%	63%	8%
65+	39%	54%	7%
PARTY REGISTRATION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Democrat	2%	97%	1%
Republican	48%	45%	7%
Independent	30%	53%	17%

QUESTION: Would you support or oppose legislation that protected more mature and oldgrowth forests on public lands from commercial logging to protect drinking water, wildlife habitat, and the climate?

	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
STATE	72%	25%	3%
REGION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Portland Metro	81%	17%	2%
Willamette Valley	71%	26%	3%
Rural Oregon	58%	37%	5%
GENDER ID	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Male	66%	30%	4%
Female	77%	21%	2%
AGE	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
18-34	90%	9%	1%
35-49	68%	29%	3%
50-64	68%	30%	2%
65+	69%	26%	5%
PARTY REGISTRATION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Democrat	89%	9%	2%
Republican	52%	44%	4%
Independent	70%	27%	3%

QUESTION: Congress is considering a proposal to allow logging projects up to 10,000 acres -the equivalent of 7,500 football fields -- without environmental review or public input. Do you support or oppose this proposal?

	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
STATE	30%	67%	3%
REGION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Portland Metro	23%	74%	3%
Willamette Valley	23%	75%	2%
Rural Oregon	50%	47%	3%
GENDER ID	<u>SUPPORT</u>	OPPOSE	UNDECIDED
Male	36%	59%	5%
Female	24%	74%	2%
AGE	<u>SUPPORT</u>	OPPOSE	UNDECIDED
18-34	16%	79%	5%
35-49	29%	67%	4%
50-64	34%	63%	3%
65+	37%	62%	1%
PARTY REGISTRATION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Democrat	8%	89%	3%
Republican	55%	41%	4%
Independent	31%	66%	3%

QUESTION: The federal government has laid off thousands of workers who manage our parks, forests, and public lands. Supporters of these lay-offs say they will save money and reduce bureaucracy. Opponents of them say they could increase wildfire risk and leave vast areas of our public lands without oversight. Do you support or oppose the federal layoffs?

	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
STATE	31%	65%	4%
REGION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Portland Metro	21%	75%	4%
Willamette Valley	30%	67%	3%
Rural Oregon	49%	46%	5%
GENDER ID	<u>SUPPORT</u>	OPPOSE	UNDECIDED
Male	37%	60%	3%
Female	26%	70%	4%
AGE	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
18-34	13%	82%	5%
35-49	32%	64%	4%
50-64	36%	59%	5%
65+	37%	61%	2%
PARTY REGISTRATION	<u>SUPPORT</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Democrat	8%	90%	2%
Republican	62%	29%	9%
Independent	29%	68%	3%

QUESTION: Do you feel the federal government should or should not prioritize wildfire protection—such as thinning small trees near homes and funding emergency services—over logging for timber production?

	<u>SHOULD</u>	SHOULD NOT	NOT SURE
STATE	74%	19%	7%
REGION	<u>SHOULD</u>	SHOULD NOT	NOT SURE
Portland Metro	77%	19%	4%
Willamette Valley	79%	12%	9%
Rural Oregon	64%	26%	10%
GENDER ID	<u>SHOULD</u>	SHOULD NOT	NOT SURE
Male	72%	23%	5%
Female	76%	15%	9%
AGE	<u>SHOULD</u>	SHOULD NOT	NOT SURE
18-34	88%	8%	4%
35-49	73%	23%	4%
50-64	70%	20%	10%
65+	70%	21%	9%
PARTY REGISTRATION	<u>SHOULD</u>	SHOULD NOT	NOT SURE
Democrat	88%	7%	5%
Republican	52%	32%	16%
Independent	77%	20%	3%

QUESTION: Which of the following viewpoints about the use of national public lands comes closer to your view? (ORDER ROTATED)

- Public lands should be managed to support wildlife habitat, recreation, clean water, and climate benefits.

- Public lands should be managed to support economic goals like logging over environmental protection

	ENVIRONMENTAL	ECONOMIC	NOT SURE
STATE	76%	17%	7%
REGION	ENVIRONMENTAL	ECONOMIC	NOT SURE
Portland Metro	79%	12%	9%
Willamette Valley	78%	15%	7%
Rural Oregon	68%	28%	4%
GENDER ID	ENVIRONMENTAL	ECONOMIC	NOT SURE
Male	68%	23%	9%
Female	82%	12%	6%
AGE	ENVIRONMENTAL	ECONOMIC	NOT SURE
18-34	93%	4%	3%
35-49	77%	15%	8%
50-64	71%	20%	9%
65+	69%	25%	6%
PARTY REGISTRATION	ENVIRONMENTAL	ECONOMIC	NOT SURE
Democrat	94%	3%	3%
Republican	52%	32%	16%
Independent	76%	19%	5%

DEMOGRAPHICS

PARTY REGISTRATION:

Democrat	236 (38%)
Republican	184 (29%)
Independent or Other	205 (33%)

AGE:

18-34	111 (18%)
35-49	176 (28%)
50-64	173 (28%)
65+	163 (26%)
Refused	2

GENDER IDENTIFICATION:

Male	294 (47%)
Female	316 (51%)
Other	15 (2%)

REGION:

Portland Metro	280 (45%)
Willamette Valley	175 (28%)
Rural Oregon	170 (27%)